

# A Theory Of Inferred Causation

Daniel Küttel  
ETH Zürich, Switzerland

23. May 2006

# Our Task

Find cause-effect relationships (causal model).

Use only the information from uncontrolled observation of nature.

(No controlled experiments!)

empirical joint distribution over observable variables  $\rightarrow$  causal model

Should be possible because humans can do it.

# Our Problems

- statistical dependence  $\neq$  causality  
( $\leftarrow$  but not  $\rightarrow$ )
- causal relationship vs spurious covariance
- hidden information/nodes  
(for example hidden common cause)

# first thoughts

- Use temporal information, helps with:  
direction of causality  
common causes
- Identify statistical patterns and associate them  
with a causal interpretation:  
no need for temporal information

# Definitions

2.2.1 causal structure  $D = \text{DAG}$ , blueprint

2.3.2 latent structure  $\langle D, O \rangle = D$  with Observables

2.2.2 causal model  $\langle D, \Theta \rangle = \text{full model}$

What now? Just find the causal model which can generate the observed joint distribution?

# removing some ambiguity

basic idea:

Use the simplest working model that you can find.  
(The simpler the explanation the better.)

less basic idea:

The simpler the model the smaller its „expressive power“.

$L \leq L'$ : A latent structure  $L$  is simpler than  $L'$  if  $L'$  can mimic  $L$  (only looking at the observables).

# the big thing

Find (one) minimal  $L = \langle D, O \rangle$  which is consistent:

$$P_o(L) = P_{\text{empirical}}$$

## 2.3.6 **inferred causation**

Given  $P_{\text{empirical}}$ ,  $C$  has a *causal influence* on  $E$   
iff there is a directed path from  $C$  to  $E$  in *every minimal  $L$  consistent with  $P_{\text{empirical}}$*

# yet another concept ...

... to remove ambiguity:

stability

Some independencies are structural and others are only „numerical“.

Don't use models that allow „numerical“ independencies (they are unstable).

# recovering DAG structures inductive causation (IC)

1. For all  $a, b$  in  $V$  find  $S_{ab}$  which renders  $a$  and  $b$  independent if conditioned on.  
Construct undirected graph with  $a, b$  connected if no such  $S_{ab}$  exists.
2. For all  $a, b$  with common neighbor  $c$ :  
if  $c$  is in  $S_{ab}$ , do nothing  
else, construct  $a \rightarrow c \leftarrow b$
3. In the resulting directed partially graph, orient as many edges as possible.  
Don't create new v-structures.  
Don't create directed cycles.

# recovering latent structures

Stability is no longer needed over  $O$ .  
Minimal latent structures don't have to be DAG structured.

## 2.6.1 Projection

$L_{[O]}$  is a projection of  $L$  iff

hidden variables are parentless common causes of two observables and

$L_{[O]}$  and  $L$  have the same conditional independencies

# IC with latent variables (IC\*)

1. Find  $S_{ab}$  again and construct a-b if no  $S_{ab}$  exists.
2. Construct  $a \rightarrow c \leftarrow b$  again if possible.
3. Add arrows as long as the rules permit it.

# local criteria for causal relations

Certain statistical patterns allow us to infer causal relationships.

There is always a third variable which allows us to do „an uncontrolled experiment“. („no causation without manipulation“)

# local criteria for causal relations

2.7.1 Potential Cause: It's not the only cause.

2.7.2 Genuine Cause: Controlling X is controlling Y and X can screen Y from any further control.  
(+ closure)

2.7.3 Spurious Association: Leaves only a latent common cause as explanation.

# using temporal information

2.7.4 Genuine Causation: Temporal precedence replaces potential cause.

2.7.5 Spurious Association: Only check for one direction of causality.

# „inferred time“ / statistical time

We expect causation to follow the timeline.

Most techniques today didn't use temporal information.

A correct DAG should hopefully give us a statistical time which coincides with the physical time.

(May not always be the case.)