High-Throughput Screening with Two-Dimensional Kernels

Chloé-Agathe Azencott and Pierre Baldi

Institute for Genomics and Bioinformatics University of California, Irvine

IJCNN07 - Agnostic Learning vs. Prior Knowledge Challenge

C.-A. Azencott (IGB - UCI)

HTS with 2D Kernels

ALvsPK 2007 1 / 24

1 I N I I N N N

High-Throughput Screening

- Drug discovery
- Quickly test thousands of molecules to identify possible drug candidates
- *in silico* (Virtual Screening):
 - less resources (time and money)
 - ability to test virtual compounds (not yet synthetized)

Outline



Similarity Between Two Molecules

- Molecular Graph
- 2D fingerprints
- Similarity Measures
- 2 Support Vector Machines
 - Overview of SVM
 - SVM and molecules



3 3

Sac

Similarity Between Two Molecules

Similarity Between Two Molecules

How can we define the similarity between two molecular compounds?

C.-A. Azencott (IGB - UCI)

HTS with 2D Kernels

토▶ ◀ 토▶ 토|트 ∽ ९ (~ ALvsPK 2007 4 / 24



- each node = atom
- each edge = bound
- Graph can be labeled (e.g. with atom name and type of bound)

C.-A. Azencott (IGB - UCI)

HTS with 2D Kernels

ALvsPK 2007 5 / 24

ELE NOR

< ∃ >

< <p>I > < A</p>

Molecular Fingerprints



Presence/Absence or count of each feature

Sparse and long (≈ 100,000) (=> compression)

C.-A. Azencott (IGB - UCI)

3 3

San

< E

Extended-Connectivity Fingerprints (ECFP)

- Assign a label L to each atom (node)
- At each iteration: update the label of each node

$$L_{new} = h(L_{old}, L_1, \ldots, L_k)$$

where L_1, \ldots, L_k are the labels of the neighboring nodes and *h* is a hashing function

Each final label is a feature

Labeling Schemes

• Atoms (Nodes):

- Element (or atomic number): Carbon, Oxygen, etc...
- Element-Connectivity: Element + # connected atoms
- Sybyl typing of atoms: Element + property (amide, hybridization, ...)
- etc...
- Bonds (Edges):
 - Type of bond (single, double, triple, aromatic...)
 - No label
 - etc...

Tanimoto



• Tanimoto (binary):

Α	Π	В
Ā	υ	В

MinMax (counts):

$$\frac{\sum_{i} \min(A_{i}, B_{i})}{\sum_{i} \max(A_{i}, B_{i})}$$

< D > < A

reduces to Tanimoto in the binary case

C.-A. Azencott (IGB - UCI)

HTS with 2D Kernels

ALvsPK 2007 9 / 24

-E | =

200

< ∃ >

Support Vector Machines

Support Vector Machines

How can we use these similarities in the framework of SVM for the classification of molecular compounds?

C.-A. Azencott (IGB - UCI)

HTS with 2D Kernels

· 콜 ▷ ▲ 콜 ▷ 콜 | ■ ∽ ९ (° ALvsPK 2007 10 / 24

< D > < A

Feature Space Matching



- Φ : map the input space (\mathcal{X}) to a feature space (\mathcal{H}) where the data is linearly separable
- kernel k: $k(x, x') = \langle \phi(x), \phi(x') \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$

C.-A. Azencott (IGB - UCI)

HTS with 2D Kernels

(E) (E) E = つへの ALvsPK 2007 11/24

Support Vector Machines

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i k(x_i, x) + b$$

where:

- k = kernel
- x₁,..., x_n = training examples
- Computation of the optimal manifold in H
- Size of the dataset => need for an online implementation (SVMTorch)

MinMax is a kernel

Theorem Tanimoto and MinMax are kernels

- We can map molecules to a linear space
- We can use a SVM for problems where data = molecules

C.-A. Azencott (IGB - UCI)

HTS with 2D Kernels

ALvsPK 2007 13 / 24

1 I N I I N N N

Optimization

- Grid optimization: hyperparameters C (error-margin tradeoff) and ϵ (insensitivity) of the SVM (so as to minimize the BER)
- Dealing with unbalance: Oversampling
 - divide negative set in k subsets of the size (roughly) of the positive set
 - train each of the k sub-classifiers independantly
 - final decision:
 - each sub-classifier has a vote
 - threshold optimized by cross-validation

Performance of the method on the HIVA dataset of the AL vs. PK challenge

C.-A. Azencott (IGB - UCI)

HTS with 2D Kernels

(E) (E) E) E ○ Q (~ ALvsPK 2007 15 / 24

HIVA dataset

- Set of molecular compounds together with their activity towards HIV
- HTS problem
- 3.5% active compounds

C.-A. Azencott (IGB - UCI)

HTS with 2D Kernels

· 콜 ▷ ▲ 콜 ▷ 콜 | ■ ∽ ९ 여 ALvsPK 2007 16 / 24

< D > < A

10-fold Cross-Validated Results

- Labels: Atomic number, Bound type
- Number of iterations (while building ECFP): 2
- Similarity measure: MinMax (i.e. counts representation)
- 10-fold Cross-Validated BER = 0.2238
- BER on the train set = 0.000

1 I N I I N N N

Final Results

BER = 0.2693

- Winning BER in the Prior Knowledge track
- Best Agnostic Learning BER = 0.2741

C.-A. Azencott (IGB - UCI)

HTS with 2D Kernels

토 ► < 토 ► 토 = ♡ < @ ALvsPK 2007 18 / 24

Conclusion

- Small training set but limited overfitting => hope of (relatively) good prediction performance on the testing set
- Method can be applied to HTS but also to various problems in the chemistry domain and also to any domain where the data can be represented by graphs
- Fast enough to be applied to large datasets

References

References I

- L. Ralaivola, S. J. Swamidass, H. Saigo, and P. Baldi Graph Kernels for Chemical Informatics *Neural Networks*, 18(8):1093–1100, 2005.
- M. Hassan, R. D. Brown, S. Varma-O'Brien and D. Rogers Cheminformatics analysis and learning in a data pipelining environment *Molecular Diversity*, 10:283–299; 2006.
- R. Collobert and S. Bengio
 SVMTorch: Support Vector Machines for Large-Scale
 Regression Problems
 J. Mach. Learn. Res., 1:143–160, 2001.
 http://www.idiap.ch/learning/SVMTorch.html

Acknowledgments I

- Dr. S. Joshua Swamidass
- Pr. Pierre F. Baldi

C.-A. Azencott (IGB - UCI)

HTS with 2D Kernels

· 토▷ ▲ 토▷ 토| 트 ∽ ৭ ↔ ALvsPK 2007 21 / 24

Thank you!

C.-A. Azencott (IGB - UCI)

HTS with 2D Kernels

[콜▶◀콜▶ 콜|≡ ∽੧... ALvsPK 2007 22/24

 $\langle \Box \rangle \land \Box \rangle \land \Box \rangle \land \Box$

Is BER a good performance measure for HIVA?

$$BER = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{TP}{p} + \frac{TN}{n} \right)$$

- BER quantifies for good separation
- But HTS: Find as many hits as possible very early (early recognition)
- BEDROC (Boltzmann-enhanced discrimination of receiver operating characteristic)

BEDROC

- Generalization of AUC (Area under the ROC curve)
- α : α .Ra << 1 and $\alpha \neq 0$, where Ra is the ratio of active compounds ($Ra = \frac{p}{N}$)
- Compare the classifier to an exponential probability distribution of parameter α (instead of uniform)

$$BEDROC \approx \frac{1}{Ra.\alpha} \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{p} e^{-\alpha.(r_i/N)}}{\frac{1-e^{-\alpha}}{e^{\alpha/N}-1}} \right) + \frac{1}{1+e^{-\alpha}}$$

J.-F. Truchon and C. I. Bayly Evaluating Virtual Screening Methods: Good and Bad Metrics for the "Early Recognition" Problem J. Chem. Inf. Model., 47(2):488–508, 2007.

C.-A. Azencott (IGB - UCI)

HTS with 2D Kernels

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・